

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/527,986	03/17/2000		Robert Giannini	JARB.005PA	4342
7590 05/27/2004			EXAMINER		
Crawford PLLC 1270 Northland Drive				GART, MATTHEW S	
Suite 390	. 211, 0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St Paul, MN 55120			3625		
				DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/527,986	GIANNINI, ROBERT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew s Gart	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE	mely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ag 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2000 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	) accepted or b) objected to rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (. Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-20 are pending in the instant application. Claims 11-20 were withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

## **Drawings**

This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Figures 1 and 2 contain rough lines and text, which may affect clarity when reproduced.

Applicant is required to submit a formal correction of the noted defect. Applicant is required to submit drawing corrections promptly. <u>Drawing objections may no longer be held in abeyance</u>.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Rom U.S. Patent No. 6,307,568 in view of Dodd U.S. Patent No. 6,321,211.

Referring to claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, and 10. Rom discloses a method and an arrangement for on-line viewing of an article on another structure (at least Abstract), comprising:

Art Unit: 3625

Page 3

- Providing a host-site accessible to an on-line viewer (column 4, lines 30-44, "A garment-fitting system 14, for fitting garments over the Internet, receives a number of different inputs.") web-accessible at a separate site and web-linkable to at least one article-provider site (column 6, lines 24-32, "In step 1, the user sends a picture of the body of the user to the vendor of garments over the Internet.") the article provider-site having images of articles for view via the web (Figure 1, Garment picture);
- Linking the on-line viewer to the host-site and selecting a structure in response to a command received by the on-line viewer (Figure 2 and Figure 3);
- Using the host-site, linking the viewer to the at least one article-provider site
  and passing images from that site for view by the on-line viewer (Figure 2 and
  Figure 3);
- A memory containing a new image of a merged item composed of merged representations of the different ones of the articles and the structure (Figure 3, step 4);
- At the host-site, electronically <u>storing partial-data sets</u> respectively corresponding to different ones of the articles (column 3, lines 62-65); and
- Generating a new image by merging representations of the different ones of the articles with the structure by forming an image of a merged item including representations of both the structure and the selected article (Figure 2, Figure 3 and column 2, lines 3-9).

Art Unit: 3625

Rom does not expressly disclose a method and an arrangement for on-line viewing of an article on another structure, comprising:

- Communicating the item electronically to another site for a selection, which causes a billing to another site; and
- Electronically gifting the merged item to another site (at least abstract).

Dodd discloses a method and an arrangement for on-line viewing of an article on another structure (at least Abstract), comprising:

- Communicating the item electronically to another site for a selection, which
  causes a billing to another site (at least column 3, lines 28-50 and column 9,
  lines 49-67); and
- Electronically gifting the merged item to another site (at least abstract).

The Examiner notes, the <u>virtual storage closet</u> in the instant application is functionally equivalent to the storing function described in Rom. Rom discloses a system where the user optionally selects or changes garment characteristics if available. Such characteristics could include but are not limited to the size of the garment, the color of the garment and the fabric of the garment (column 6, lines 62-65). This is equivalent to the immediate application's description of an <u>electronic closet</u>, which permits the shopper to change the size and color of an article (Page 9 of 14 of the Instant Invention).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the system of Rom to have included the limitations of Dodd because garments can also be fitted for someone other than the user, which is a particular

Art Unit: 3625

advantage when buying garments for persons who have difficulty selecting their own garments, such as children (column 2, lines 5-10).

Referring to claims 2 and 7. Rom in view of Dudd discloses a method and arrangement according to claims 1 and 6 as indicated. Rom further discloses a method wherein the partial data sets include a size code (Figure 1, Data about the user body).

Referring to claim 3. Rom in view of Dudd discloses a method and arrangement according to claim 1. Rom further discloses a method wherein the partial data sets include a code identifying a style (Figure 1, Data about garment).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Rom U.S. Patent No. 6,307,568 in view of Dodd U.S. Patent No. 6,321,211, in further view of Official Notice.

Referring to claim 8. Rom in view of Dudd discloses an arrangement according to claim 6 as indicated supra. Rom in view of Dudd does not expressly disclose an arrangement configured and arranged to <u>limit a maximum amount of storage space</u> in the memory storage device provided for the on-line viewer. Examiner takes official notice that a memory storage device having a maximum limit to accessible storage space is an inherent component of a memory storage device, and does not substantially distinguish the claimed invention. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the system of Rom and Dodd to have includes a maximum limit in order to enhance services and easy shopping for customers while increasing efficiency (Rom: at least column 1, line 65 to column 2, line 2).

Art Unit: 3625

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

The Attorney argues that the cited rationale, column 3, lines 28-50 and column 9, lines 46-67 (Dodd), does not teach that the selected gift is sent to the recipient, but merely a notification thereof is sent.

Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

The Examiner notes, Dodd does disclose a method wherein once the gift giver selects a gift, a recipient is notified of the gift. In response to the notification, the recipient then connects online to the gift server, reads the gift selection from a gift queue and determines whether to accept or exchange the gift. If the recipient accepts the gift then the order is sent to the fulfillment queue where it is either sent electronically or mailed directly to the recipient. The method of Dodd is equivalent to the method as claimed in the instant invention wherein a gift is ultimately sent to a recipient.

Art Unit: 3625

The Examiner further notes, referring to Figure 2E, a selected gift is graphically shown to the recipient along with product details associated with the selected gift. In the example, the selected earrings from Neiman Marcus are shown in content area 120 as being the selected gift for the recipient. If the recipient wants to keep the selected gift, the recipient selects an "ACCEPT" button 144 within content area 120 and an order for the selected gift is transmitted electronically to the vendor (communication to another site) for shipment to the recipient (column 5, line 64 to column 6, line 18).

The Attorney argues Rom is silent as to any teaching of electronically closeting partial-data sets as claimed.

The Examiner notes, Rom does teach electronically closeting partial-data sets.

Claim 1 of the instant application discloses data sets corresponding to different articles, which are stored at the host site. Figure 1 of Rom details Garment picture (12), from which the user selects the requested garment to try on. Along with these pictures, garment data is included, which could include such information as flexibility of the fabric of the garment, the extent to which the fabric tends to cling to the body of the wearer, and other information useful for adjusting the garment as it would appear on the body of the user while being worn by the user.

The Examiner notes, Official notice unsupported by documentary evidence should only be taken by the examiner where the facts asserted to be well known are

Art Unit: 3625

capable of instant and unquestionable demonstration as being well known. As noted by the court in In re Ahlert, 424 F.2d 1088, 1091, 165 USPQ418, 420 (CCPA 1970).

If an Attorney Challenges a Factual Assertion as Not Properly Officially Noticed or not Properly Based Upon Common Knowledge, the Examiner Must Support the Finding With Adequate Evidence. In the instant application that Attorney did not adequately traverse such a finding, an applicant must specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner's action, which would include stating why the noticed fact is not considered to be common knowledge or well-known in the art.

Nonetheless, provided below is evidentiary support that a memory storage device inherently has a maximum limit to accessible storage space.

"Lean Machines," Suzanne Kantra Kirschner, Rolling Stone, New York, March 4, 1999, Issue 807, page 91, 2 pages.

"Smallest Hard Drive Unveiled," Rex Farrance, PC World, PC World Online, San Francisco, September 9, 1998, page 1.

Art Unit: 3625

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Matthew Gart whose telephone number is 703-305-5355. This examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Art Unit: 3625

MSG

November 20, 2003

Jeffrey A. Smith